EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

LATHAM & WATKINS

PAUL R. WATKINS (1899 - 1973) DANA LATHAM (1898 - 1974)

CHICAGO OFFICE

SEARS TOWER, SUITE 5800 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606 PHONE (312) 876-7700, FAX 993-9767

HONG KONG OFFICE

SUITE 2205A, 22ND FLOOR NO. 9 QUEEN'S ROAD CENTRAL HONG KONG PHONE + 852-2522-7886, FAX 2522-7006

LONDON OFFICE

ONE ANGEL COURT LONDON EC2R 7HJ ENGLAND PHONE + 44-171-374 4444, FAX 374 4460

LOS ANGELES OFFICE

633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4000 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-2007 PHONE (213) 485-1234, FAX 891-8763

MOSCOW OFFICE

ULITSA GASHEKA, 7, 9th Floor MOSCOW 123056, RUSSIA PHONE + 7-095 785-1234, FAX 785-1235

NEW JERSEY OFFICE

ONE NEWARK CENTER, 16th FLOOR NEWARK, NEW JERSEY O7101-3174 PHONE (973) 639-1234, FAX 639-7298 ATTORNEYS AT LAW IOOI PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W. SUITE I300

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2505 TELEPHONE (202) 637-2200 FAX (202) 637-220I

June 2, 1999

ORIGINAL

NEW YORK OFFICE

885 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1000 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-4802 PHONE (212) 906-1200, FAX 751-4864

ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE

650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-1925 PHONE (714) 540-1235, FAX 755-8290

SAN DIEGO OFFICE

701 'B" STREET, SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8197 PHONE (619) 236-1234, FAX 696-7419

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1900 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-2562 PHONE (415) 391-0600, FAX 395-8095

SILICON VALLEY OFFICE

135 COMMONWEALTH DRIVE MENLO PARK, CALIFORNIA 94025 PHONE (650) 328-4600, FAX 463-2600

SINGAPORE OFFICE

20 CECIL STREET, SUITE 25-02 THE EXCHANGE, SINGAPORE 049705 PHONE + 65-536-1161, FAX 536-1171

TOKYO OFFICE

INFINI AKASAKA, 8-7-15, AKASAKA, MINATO-KU TOKYO 107-0052, JAPAN PHONE +813-3423-3970, FAX 3423-3971

BY HAND DELIVERY

JUN 02 1999

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas Secretary Federal Communications Commission The Portals 445 Twelfth Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

FEDERAL GENERALIZATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re:

Notice of Ex Parte Presentation:

IB Docket No. 98-172, RM-9005, RM-9118

Dear Ms. Salas:

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's rules, Hughes Electronics ("Hughes") hereby submits this notice of an ex parte presentation.

As a follow up to meetings on May 18 and 19 between representatives of Hughes and the individuals listed below, where arguments reflected in Hughes's written comments in this proceeding were addressed, Hughes today is distributing to those individuals the enclosed two-page written issues summary.

Copies of this Notice of Ex Parte Presentation are being provided to the Commission representatives identified above. An original and six copies are enclosed.

No. of Copies rec'd CHC

LATHAM & WATKINS

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas June 2, 1999 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

John P. Janka

cc:

Commissioner Susan Ness

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth

Mr. Dan Connors

Mr. Ari Fitzgerald

Ms. Jennifer Gilsenan

Ms. Karen Gulick

Mr. Roderick Porter

Mr. Peter Tenhula

Mr. William Trumpbour

Mr. Thomas Tycz



Spaceway FCC Issues

Broadband satellite service—implementation of the Ka band plan

- Spaceway is the 1st committed broadband satellite system—GSO or NGSO
 - Hughes committed \$1.4B for the initial phase
 - Significant technology and system improvements since system was proposed in 1995
 - Service to the US scheduled to commence in 2002
- Spaceway—satellite competition to terrestrial broadband
 - Spaceway will serve <u>all</u> users—home; small, medium & large businesses
 - Prices 20-30% lower than terrestrial
 - More choices, better service, lower prices—the FCC's objectives
 - However, pending FCC decisions affect our ability to compete
- Pending FCC issues affecting Spaceway
 - Ka band plan reflects a difficult compromise made in 1996 to which all industry—GSO, NGSO, feeder links, LMDS—agreed.
 - Critical the FCC maintain agreed 1 GHz for GSO.
 - 250 MHz of spectrum shared with terrestrial (as FCC proposes) reduces
 Spaceway capacity, accommodates fewer users, increases prices, and results in a less competitive and less affordable service to the public.
 - Spaceway does not use gateways; all spectrum must support small terminals, which require 1 GHz of downlink spectrum.
 - Downlink issues: Hughes proposes the FCC allocate to GSO FSS 18.1-18.6 (by relocating CARS), in addition to the existing 19.7-20.2 GHz, for a total of 1 GHz of unshared downlink spectrum.
 - Uplink issues: Ubiquitous Spaceway terminals can share 29.25-29.5 GHz with MSS feeder links, but only on conditions agreed by FCC in original band plan. Any changes--dropping MSS constraints—would unfairly imbalance the compromise agreement.

Spaceway FCC Issues



Blanket licensing parameters

- Spaceway has optimized space-ground network to increase capacity & reduce customer equipment & service costs
- Small, inexpensive terminals key to consumer and small business market
- With lower costs, Spaceway can work for consumers everywhere, rural, urbal and suburban, providing affordable, ubiquitous coverage
- Spaceway presented new antenna data to the GSO licensees to show that lower power earth terminals are feasible at desired costs. Measured data from the antenna manufacturers will be presented to GSO licensees on June 15

■ GSO/NGSO sharing issues

- The FCC has adopted a US band plan segmenting GSO and NGSO primary operations
- Hughes and other licensees are committing \$billions on the basis of that band plan
- The ITU is considering global limits on NGSOs operating on GSO frequencies, but that does not change the US band plan and the FCC has not proposed to do so
- The FCC should maintain its division of GSO and NGSO spectrum at Ka band so US systems can go forward immediately
- Adding NGSOs later would be unfair to the GSO investors and users
- If, contrary to Hughes' position above, the FCC considers authorizing NGSOs on GSO primary spectrum, it should reallocate <u>all</u> Ka band satellite spectrum for shared GSO and NGSO use, with pfd limits on all NGSO operations -- necessary to permit GSO use